

An Asymptotic Formula for Goldbach's Conjecture with Monic Polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$

Mark Kozek

1 Introduction.

In a recent MONTHLY note, Saidak [6], improving on a result of Hayes [1], gave Chebyshev-type estimates for the number $\mathfrak{R}(y) = \mathfrak{R}_f(y)$ of representations of the monic polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree $d > 1$ as a sum of two irreducible monics $g(x)$ and $h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, with the coefficients of $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ bounded in absolute value by y .

Here, we do not distinguish the sum $g(x) + h(x)$ from $h(x) + g(x)$, and whenever we write that a monic polynomial $p(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is “irreducible”, we mean irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$. We observe that Saidak’s argument with slight modifications gives that, for y sufficiently large,

$$c_1 y^{d-1} < \mathfrak{R}(y) < c_2 y^{d-1},$$

where c_1 and c_2 are constants that depend on the degree and the coefficients of the polynomial $f(x)$. In this note, we give a proof that the number $\mathfrak{R}(y)$ is asymptotic to $(2y)^{d-1}$, i.e.,

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathfrak{R}(y)}{(2y)^{d-1}} = 1.$$

In fact, our approach implies that there is a constant c_3 depending only on d such that if y is sufficiently large, then

$$\mathfrak{R}(y) = (2y)^{d-1} + E, \quad \text{where } |E| \leq c_3 y^{d-2} \log y.$$

2 Preliminaries.

For functions $r(y)$ and $s(y)$, we write $r(y) = \mathcal{O}(s(y))$ if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $|r(y)| \leq Cs(y)$ for all sufficiently large y . If the constant C depends on a value d or on the coefficients and degree of a polynomial $f(x)$, we use instead $\mathcal{O}_d$ or $\mathcal{O}_f$, respectively.

First, we state the following lemma which implies that the probability that a monic polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ of given degree whose second coefficient is fixed is reducible is 0 (that is, the density of reducible polynomials with bounded coefficients approaches 0 as the bound on the coefficients goes to infinity).

Lemma 1. *Let $d > 1$ be an integer and fix an integer g_{d-1} . For each $y \geq 2$, let r_y denote the number of d -tuples of integers $(g_{d-1}, g_{d-2}, \dots, g_1, g_0)$ satisfying $-y \leq g_i \leq y$ for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$ such that the polynomial*

$$x^d + g_{d-1}x^{d-1} + \dots + g_1x + g_0$$

is reducible. (So, in particular, $r_y = 0$ if $y < |g_{d-1}|$.) Then $r_y = \mathcal{O}_d(y^{d-2} \log y)$.

In order to prove Lemma 1, we modify an argument of Pólya and Szegő [5, Pt. VIII, Ch. 5, no. 266], and we use an inequality that is a known consequence of Landau [2] and simple properties of Mahler measure [3, 4].

The Mahler measure, $M(p)$, of a polynomial $p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^k p_j x^j$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is

$$M(p) = \exp \left(\int_0^1 \ln |p(e^{2\pi i t})| dt \right).$$

Mahler showed that for $0 \leq j \leq k$, $|p_j| \leq \binom{k}{j} M(p)$ and remarked that $M(p)$ is multiplicative. Landau showed that $1 \leq M(p) \leq (p_k^2 + p_{k-1}^2 + \dots + p_1^2 + p_0^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. From these, we deduce the following inequality which we state as our second lemma.

Lemma 2. *Let $g(x)$ be a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree d of the form*

$$g(x) = g_d x^d + g_{d-1} x^{d-1} + \dots + g_1 x + g_0$$

such that $g(x) = a(x)b(x)$, where $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ are in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Let $a(x)$ take the form

$$a(x) = a_m x^m + a_{m-1} x^{m-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0.$$

Then for $0 \leq l \leq m$, a_l satisfies

$$|a_l| \leq \binom{m}{l} (g_d^2 + g_{d-1}^2 + \dots + g_1^2 + g_0^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof of Lemma 1. We remind the reader that if $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ factors in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ as a product of two polynomials of degree at least 1, then $g(x)$ factors in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ as a product of two polynomials of degree at least 1. Now, let $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a reducible, monic polynomial of degree $d > 1$ such that all of its coefficients are in absolute value $\leq y$ and g_{d-1} is fixed as in the lemma. Then there exist two monic polynomials $a(x)$ and $b(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree ≥ 1 such that $g(x) = a(x)b(x)$. Let us further take

$$\deg(a) = m \geq n = \deg(b),$$

where $m + n = d$. Note that there are at most $\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$ possibilities for the pair (m, n) . We write $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ in the following forms:

$$\begin{aligned} a(x) &= x^m + a_{m-1}x^{m-1} + \cdots + a_1x + a_0 \\ b(x) &= x^n + b_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + b_1x + b_0. \end{aligned}$$

Since the number of monic polynomials we are considering with $g_0 = 0$ is $\mathcal{O}_d(y^{d-2})$, it is sufficient to show that the number of d -tuples

$$(a_{m-1}, a_{m-2}, \dots, a_1, a_0, b_{n-1}, b_{n-2}, \dots, b_1, b_0)$$

as above with $a_0b_0 \neq 0$ is equal to $\mathcal{O}_d(y^{d-2} \log y)$.

We consider $a(x)$ which has degree $m \leq d-1$. A similar argument applies to $b(x)$. For $1 \leq l \leq m-1$, Lemma 2 implies

$$|a_l| \leq \binom{m}{l} (1^2 + g_{d-1}^2 + \cdots + g_1^2 + g_0^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \binom{d-1}{\lfloor \frac{d-1}{2} \rfloor} ((d+1)y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = C_d y,$$

where C_d depends only on d . Thus, the number of $(d-4)$ -tuples

$$(a_{m-2}, \dots, a_1, b_{n-2}, \dots, b_1)$$

is $\mathcal{O}_d(y^{m-2}y^{n-2}) = \mathcal{O}_d(y^{d-4})$.

Observe that when we multiply $a(x)$ by $b(x)$, since they are both monic polynomials, the value the coefficient g_{d-1} takes will result from the sum $a_{m-1} + b_{n-1}$. Also recall that g_{d-1} is fixed, so determining a_{m-1} also determines b_{n-1} . Hence the number of 2-tuples (a_{m-1}, b_{n-1}) is $\mathcal{O}(y)$.

Since $a_0b_0 = g_0$, we have $1 \leq |a_0b_0| \leq y$. Thus, the number of 2-tuples (a_0, b_0) is bounded by

$$4 \sum_{q \leq y} \sum_{\delta | q} 1 = 4 \sum_{\delta \leq y} \sum_{\substack{q \leq y \\ \delta | q}} 1 \leq 4 \sum_{\delta \leq y} \frac{y}{\delta} = \mathcal{O}(y \log y),$$

where the 4 appears above since each of a_0 and b_0 may be either positive or negative. Combining this estimate with the above, the lemma follows. $\square$

Remark. If we remove the condition in Lemma 1 that g_{d-1} is fixed, then $r_y = \mathcal{O}_d(y^{d-1} \log y)$. This is a direct consequence of a more general theorem of van der Waerden [7].

3 Theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let $f(x)$ be a monic polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree $d > 1$. The number $\mathfrak{R}(y)$ of representations of $f(x)$ as a sum of two irreducible monics $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, with the coefficients of $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ bounded in absolute value by y , is asymptotic to $(2y)^{d-1}$.*

Proof. Let $f(x)$ be a given monic polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree $d > 1$ that takes the form

$$x^d + f_{d-1}x^{d-1} + \cdots + f_1x + f_0.$$

We are looking for pairs of monic polynomials $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ with coefficients bounded in absolute value by y such that $f(x) = g(x) + h(x)$. Without loss of generality, let $\deg(g) > \deg(h)$, and observe that $\deg(g) = d$ and $1 \leq \deg(h) \leq d-1$.

If $y \geq 1 + \max\{|f_0|, |f_1|, \dots, |f_{d-1}|\}$, then the total number of pairs of monic (not necessarily irreducible) polynomials $g(x), h(x)$ is

$$\sum_{T=0}^{d-2} \prod_{t=0}^T (2\lfloor y \rfloor + 1 - |f_t|) = (2y)^{d-1} + \mathcal{O}_f(y^{d-2}) \sim (2y)^{d-1}.$$

We claim that almost all of these pairs of monic polynomials $g(x), h(x)$ consist of two irreducible polynomials. Thus, $\mathfrak{R}(y) \sim (2y)^{d-1}$. We in fact establish

$$\mathfrak{R}(y) = (2y)^{d-1} + \mathcal{O}_d(y^{d-2} \log y)$$

by showing that there are $\mathcal{O}_d(y^{d-2} \log y)$ pairs of monic polynomials $(g(x), h(x))$ where at least one of $g(x)$ or $h(x)$ is reducible. Once a particular $g(x)$ or $h(x)$ is fixed, it determines the other. We count the ways $g(x)$ might be reducible separately from the ways $h(x)$ might be reducible.

First, we count the ways $g(x)$ might be reducible. We have that $\deg(g) = d$. Since h is monic and $\deg h \leq d-1$, the equation $f(x) = g(x) + h(x)$ implies that either $g_{d-1} = f_{d-1}$ or $g_{d-1} = f_{d-1} - 1$, so in each of these cases, g_{d-1} is fixed. Since the coefficients of $g(x)$ are bounded in absolute value by y , we have met all the conditions for Lemma 1. Therefore we have that there are at most $\mathcal{O}_d(y^{d-2} \log y)$ monic reducible polynomials $g(x)$.

Next, we count the ways that the monic polynomial $h(x)$ might be reducible. Suppose we have that $\deg(h) = d-1$. Since the coefficients of $h(x)$ are bounded in absolute value by y , by our remark at the end of the previous section, we have at most $\mathcal{O}_d(y^{d-2} \log y)$ monic reducible polynomials $h(x)$ of degree $d-1 \geq 1$. If $\deg(h) < d-1$, we note that our remark also implies that the number of ways $h(x)$ can be reducible is $\mathcal{O}_d(y^{d-2} \log y)$.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R}(y) &= \left(\sum_{T=0}^{d-2} \prod_{t=0}^T (2\lfloor y \rfloor + 1 - |f_t|) \right) + \mathcal{O}_d(y^{d-2} \log y) + \mathcal{O}_d(y^{d-2} \log y) \\ &= ((2y)^{d-1} + \mathcal{O}_f(y^{d-2})) + \mathcal{O}_d(y^{d-2} \log y) \\ &= (2y)^{d-1} + \mathcal{O}_d(y^{d-2} \log y), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that any constant depending only on the coefficients and degree of $f(x)$ is small compared to $\log y$ when y is sufficiently large. The result follows. $\square$

Acknowledgments. The author wishes to thank Michael Filaseta for helpful discussions about this problem and for his insightful commentary after reading the various drafts of this note, and David Boyd for providing the appropriate references for the inequality used in Lemma 2. The author also wishes to thank the referees for their thoughtful suggestions.

References

- [1] D. Hayes, A Goldbach theorem for polynomials with integer coefficients, this MONTHLY **72** (1965) 45–46.
- [2] E. Landau, Sur quelques théorèmes de M. Petrovitch relatifs aux zéros des fonctions analytiques, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **33** (1905) 251–261.
- [3] K. Mahler, An application of Jensen’s formula to polynomials, *Mathematika* **7** (1960) 98–100.
- [4] _____, On some inequalities for polynomials in several variables, *J. London Math. Soc.* **37** (1962) 341–344.
- [5] G. Pólya and G. Szegő, *Problems and Theorems in Analysis*, 4th ed., vol. II, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.
- [6] F. Saidak, On Goldbach’s conjecture for integer polynomials, this MONTHLY **113** (2006) 541–545.
- [7] B. L. van der Waerden, Die Seltenheit der Gleichungen mit Affekt, *Math. Ann.* **109** (1934) 13–16.

Department of Mathematics, Whittier College, Whittier, CA 90608-0634
mkozek@whittier.edu